

20040320.ba v03_n625.bam.20040320

>From ???@??? Sat Mar 20 20:51:12 2004 +0000
Message-Id: <200403210250.i2L2oukC013922@sco.theporch.com>
Date: Sat, 20 Mar 2004 20:50:36 CST
From: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: BOATANCHORS digest 3625

BOATANCHORS Digest 3625

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) Oscilloscope Troubleshooting Info
by Edward Knobloch <k4pf@juno.com>
- 2) SCR522 Based on an British Design?
by =?iso-8859-1?Q?Andr=E9_Guibert?= <aguibert@sympatico.ca>
- 3) re SCR-522
by "philip" <dgnova@erols.com>
- 4) Re: SCR522 Based on an British Design?
by Jerry Proc <jerry.proc@sympatico.ca>
- 5) RA34H @ Kennehootchie
by stuck in 50s <polepeeg@aa4rm.ba-watch.org>
- 6) GI PA Diaphragm wanted
by stuck in 50s <polepeeg@aa4rm.ba-watch.org>
- 7) Tube reference manual
by "Sandy W5TVW" <ebjr@i-55.com>
- 8) ARC-5 receiver small parts needed
by Charles <charlesmorris@direcway.com>
- 9) Items for sale
by "Joe Watson" <wwatson5@cox.net>
- 10) Print for University 20W PA amp - UTL-20
by stuck in 50s <polepeeg@aa4rm.ba-watch.org>
- 11) Miscellaneous CRTs-including Tek-FS
by Scott Robinson <spr@earthlink.net>
- 12) OT: Interesting Ad for Free Radio Equipment
by Tom Norris <r390a@bellsouth.net>
- 13) SCR522 design
by "philip" <dgnova@erols.com>
- 14) Re: Tube reference manual
by Mike Fallon <mike@falloncom.com>
- 15) ADMINISTRIVIA: Changing Email Addresses
by listown@nanniandjack.com (Mail List Owner)
- 16) "Pebble-Grain Black" Cabinet Paint: Still Available?
by David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
- 17) Test- don't bother to read
by "Grant Youngman" <nq5t@comcast.net>
- 18) Hammarlund PRO-310 Disassembly

by "Grant Youngman" <nq5t@comcast.net>
19) SCR522 Based on a British Design
by =?iso-8859-1?Q?Andr=E9_Guibert?= <aguibert@sympatico.ca>
20) Re: SCR522 Based on a British Design
by Mike Hanz <AAF-Radio-1@cox.net>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Mar 2004 11:10:51 -0500
Subject: Oscilloscope Troubleshooting Info
Message-ID: <20040319.111052.728.1.k4pf@juno.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
From: Edward Knobloch <k4pf@juno.com>

Hi, Gang

One of the fellows on the Tektronix Yahoo group posted
a Tek document called "Troubleshooting Your Oscilloscope:
Getting Down to Basics". (88 pages, 3.6 MB zipped pdf file)
It was published in 1989, but is sufficiently general to be useful
in troubleshooting the older instruments as well as the 465 series.
<http://w1.871.telia.com/~u87138090/>

One useful hint is putting a shorting strap across the inputs
to a push-pull amplifier stage, to see if the beam returns
to near center. If the beam is still deflected off screen,
the fault is located after the connecting points.

73,
Ed Knobloch

Message-ID: <000801c40ddd\$ac950f80\$5556acce@b1yhpg64>
From: =?iso-8859-1?Q?Andr=E9_Guibert?= <aguibert@sympatico.ca>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: SCR522 Based on an British Design?
Date: Fri, 19 Mar 2004 13:10:19 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0005_01C40DB3.87827F40"

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_NextPart_000_0005_01C40DB3.87827F40
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Bonjour to All

A friend of mine seems to think that the SCR522 was based on a British = design.

Cannot lay my hand on British A/C radio installation mentionning the = TR1146 which

seemed to be in the same family, will then more than likely find it to = late.

I have a TR1196(Can.), a four channel HF transceiver which use the same = machine gun

sounding ratchet channel changing system with the same four channel = control box.

Saw something similar to an SCR522 but British built on the doorstep of = millitary collector in Tournai

(Belgium), did not have the time to investigate it further.

The collector told me that he had been told that it came from shot down = British bomber.

Quite curious as to how it can be.

Andre

-----=_NextPart_000_0005_01C40DB3.87827F40

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
* * * * *
*      ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED---      *
*      This post contains a forbidden message format      *
*      (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
*      Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT      *
*      If your postings display this message your mail program *
*      is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * *
```

-----=_NextPart_000_0005_01C40DB3.87827F40--

Message-ID: <006f01c40dfa\$b9aca120\$6b072c42@l0d2m1>

From: "philip" <dgnova@erols.com>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: re SCR-522

Date: Fri, 19 Mar 2004 16:39:56 -0500

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Going my memory, the british vhf set was set over to

the US and Bendix was tasked to make a US version.
It was to cover the 100mcs to 120mcs band.
It was decided to make a second set to cover the
120mcs to 150mcs band.
Then it was decided to make only one set covering
100mcs to 150mcs.
Above was taken from a group of 3 books put out
by the US Army signal corps.

The was an article written by one of the design engineers
of the SCR-522, in an electronics magazine printed
around 1946 to 1949. Maybe "ELECTRONICS". I
no longer work for the University of Maryland, so I
don't have access the these magazines, but someone
who does might write it up.

Message-ID: <405B6C19.51F4FFE5@sympatico.ca>
Date: Fri, 19 Mar 2004 16:54:34 -0500
From: Jerry Proc <jerry.proc@sympatico.ca>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
CC: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: SCR522 Based on an British Design?
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Andr=E9 Guibert wrote:

```
> * * * * *
> *      ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED---      *
> *      This post contains a forbidden message format      *
> *      (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
> *      Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT      *
> *      If your postings display this message your mail program *
> *      is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
> * * * * *
```

Hi Andre,

I have it noted here that the SCR522 design was based on the British TR
1133 VHF set.

--

Regards,
Jerry Proc VE3FAB
Toronto, Ontario
e-mail: jerry.proc@sympatico.ca
<http://webhome.idirect.com/~jproc/ve3fab>

Date: Fri, 19 Mar 2004 18:49:39 -0500 (EST)
From: stuck in 50s <polepeeg@aa4rm.ba-watch.org>
Message-Id: <200403192349.i2JNndX07828@barnjob.ba-watch.org>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: RA34H @ Kennehootchie

That's a local Atlanta hamfest this Saturday.

RA34H is GE power supply to run a BC-191 on anything fm 80Vac to 260Vac.
Before SCR522s, this was THE way for a B15, B17, B19, B23, B24 to phone home
(field.) In case you, like me, once wondered.

Has FG-17 thyatron pre-regulators incl. 1 extra.

According to a living Ft. Monmouth guy, reason for wide inout voltage range
was it was difficult to keep those PE-xx things on the mark with
semi-skilled GIs. Then, too, there was combat.

But, beware. This thing, tho VERY scarce, is a rat. Stored under a house
for 30 yr.s.

Better news is nothin's awol, seems to run OK on 115V w. 250W bulb in
series w. line input. And only \$120 delivered there

Marty

... excuse late notice - I'll check e-mail @ 6A

Date: Fri, 19 Mar 2004 18:51:08 -0500 (EST)
From: stuck in 50s <polepeeg@aa4rm.ba-watch.org>
Message-Id: <200403192351.i2JNp8p07838@barnjob.ba-watch.org>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: GI PA Diaphragm wanted

Ha, ha - I don't want to get my TIQ-2 set pregnant.

Really the drivers on the LS103s fm WW-2 are rebuildable. Phenolic
suspension is ~2.5" dia & voice coil ~1.75"

This is an item that someone's probably stored for 40 years & I hope one emerges as a consequence of this APB

Thanks,

Marty

- for the detail-oriented, dead driver is painted chalky WW2 green. Not the "modern army green" of a 50s University SA30 driver... of which I have another dead example

d'ya think these drivers were a weak point, huh?

Message-ID: <003001c40e0d\$bd3a6120\$e49dcdd1@s0023531634>
From: "Sandy W5TVW" <ebjr@i-55.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Tube reference manual
Date: Fri, 19 Mar 2004 17:55:13 -0600
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

There is a book called "electron tube vade mecum", I think published hard cover in Poland now. Anybody have a source for these?

73,

Sandy W5TVW

Date: Fri, 19 Mar 2004 19:44:07 -0600
From: Charles <charlesmorris@direcway.com>
Subject: ARC-5 receiver small parts needed
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Message-id: <a18n50tks1nm62rsiulq05dt42uracaih@4ax.com>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

My 40m BC-455 receiver is now complete and working electrically but needs a few mechanical odds and ends... I am trying to find three "screwtop" covers for the IF cans, and four dynamotor shockmounting feet in any condition. Finally, a (natural-finish) snap-on lid for the tube compartment. Please contact me offlist if you have these parts. I may have something you need in the junkbox!

thanks
Charles
WB3JOK

ps I had read that installing a 12SG7 for the RF amp, replacing the stock 12SK7, would improve the performance. I put one in my 80m receiver and it does make a several-db improvement in gain.

Message-ID: <002601c40e23\$357e7260\$9000a8c0@study>
From: "Joe Watson" <wwatson5@cox.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Items for sale
Date: Fri, 19 Mar 2004 20:29:45 -0600
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0023_01C40DF0.EAA60F00"

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_NextPart_000_0023_01C40DF0.EAA60F00
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I have the following items for sale:

Precision Model E-200-C signal generator. Works. No handle. \$20 =
shipped to US address.

EMC Model 801 Resistance-Capacitance-Comparator Bridge---In Circuit =
Capacitor Tester-----This item has an eye-tube as an indicator =
(included) and one tube (missing, unknown--it is a 9-pin miniature). =
There is no cover---just the panel, complete. Untested. \$12 shipped =
to US address.

I also have a poor, rusty power supply which someone built on one of the =
ARC-5 dynamotor mouning plates which would plug in to an ARC-5 receiver. =
The power supply is shot, but the connector and securing clips are =
intact. I would take off the rusty transformer and send the rest to a =
US address for \$5. It would be a good starting point for an ARC-5 =
receiver power supply.

Joe Watson
1713 Chickasha Circle
Edmond, OK 73013

-----=_NextPart_000_0023_01C40DF0.EAA60F00
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

* * * * *
* ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED--- *
* This post contains a forbidden message format *
* (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
* Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT *
* If your postings display this message your mail program *
* is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * *

-----=_NextPart_000_0023_01C40DF0.EAA60F00--

Date: Fri, 19 Mar 2004 23:25:32 -0500 (EST)
From: stuck in 50s <polepeeeg@aaa4rm.ba-watch.org>
Message-Id: <200403200425.i2K4PW208372@barnjob.ba-watch.org>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Print for University 20W PA amp - UTL-20

Far-fetched request, but if there was ever a place to turn one up it's here

A compliment

tk5

'rm

Mime-Version: 1.0
Message-Id: <p06020404bc8193d8e4f8@[216.175.106.141]>
Date: Fri, 19 Mar 2004 22:27:17 -0800
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: Scott Robinson <spr@earthlink.net>
Subject: Miscellaneous CRTs-including Tek-FS
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii" ; format="flowed"

Folks,

A friend has the following used, untested CRTs for sale, make offer:

TEKTRONIX 5 inch round:

T517P11 (2 of these)
56AP1

Non -Tektronix 5 inch round:

5CP7A
5ADP7

Non-Tek smaller:

3ASP2 1 x 2.5 inch rectangular

3KP4 3 inch round

Regards,

Scott

Mime-Version: 1.0

Message-Id: <p060204bab81eff22621@[10.0.1.2]>

Date: Sat, 20 Mar 2004 06:57:22 -0600

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

From: Tom Norris <r390a@bellsouth.net>

Subject: OT: Interesting Ad for Free Radio Equipment

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii" ; format="flowed"

Lets do our best to not let THIS future come to pass

>

>Free Ham Radio Gear.... I hadn't been on the ham bands for many
>years when I saw the ad in the newspaper For Sale Column. I had
>struggled really hard to get my ham license as a teenager. But in my
>adult life I got involved in other things, and the radio gear on the
>bench had a fine coating of dust on it from inactivity.

>

>The ad said -- "Giving away Ham Radio Gear. You pick up. No charge.
>Free to a good home!" Wow I thought - This sounds too good to be
>>true! I had just the other day thought how much fun it would be to
>turn the radio on and make a few contacts both local and
>worldwide.

>

>So I called the number and a pleasant older voice answered. I made
>arrangements and went over to see this gear. The radios ranged from
>older tube sets to a new HF/VHF radio. I asked the ham why he didn't
>want any money for the gear. He just smiled and said that he could
>not use it anymore. I thought that he might be selling his house and
>moving into a retirement community where they did not allow radios.

>I thanked him,

>packed the gear in the car and rushed home to try it out.

>

>My antenna farm was a tangled mess due to a number of frozen winter
>storms without repair. So I fashioned a crude antenna from a hunk of
>wire and stuck it to the back of one of the newer radios. I pushed
>the "on" button, with the intent of just listening to some HF Ham
>Stations, and was surprised what I heard.

>

>There were signals there all right but not the ones I had hoped to
>hear. Instead the band was full of Short Wave and Data Signals. I
>turned to another band and heard the same thing. This is really
>weird I thought! Since this was one of those fancy newer HF/VHF
>radios, I tuned down to the 2 meter and 440 bands looking for the
>local repeaters. What I heard there were truck drivers and taxi cab
>companies talking to their dispatchers, and even a local hotel chain
>talking to their work crew about getting rooms ready. I thought to!
>myself -- no wonder the guy wanted to get rid of this equipment --
>the radio only gets the scanner channels.
>I'm guessing that he wanted to get rid of this radio, because he
>didn't want to go to the expense of sending them back to the
>manufacturers service center.

>

>Puzzled by what I was hearing I decided to call the old ham and ask
>some information on what had caused this radio to receive the local
>scanner frequencies in the ham band segment. Over the phone he
>laughed out loud and said, "No those are the ham bands all right --
>or what used to be the ham bands!" "What - do you mean used to be?",
>I asked back.

>

>He went on to tell me that several years ago the FCC had closed
>those bands to hams due to inactivity by the ham community. Those
>bands are now being used by land services and other business uses,"
>he said. "You mean all the ham bands were given away?", I asked.
>"Don't be silly," he replied, " the FCC sold off those parcels of
>unused bands to the highest bidder! They simply put out a letter
>to all the hams saying that
>these bands were restricted to business only. And we were to never
>use these bands again under penalty of thousand of dollars in fines."

>

>What he told me left me dumbstruck! "So let me get this straight," I
>said, "you mean that the reason you gave me this equipment is
>because there are no longer bands to use it on! "Yep! he said with a
>laugh, "It was simply that the FCC saw all those empty ham bands as
>a gold mine of potential fundraising for the government. With no one
>using the bands, and a unsupportive and ineffective ham radio lobby,
>hams just literally gave those bands away to be sold off!"

>

>As I hung up the phone I thought of all the money I already had tied
>up in ham radio equipment down in the basement. I had purchased both

>old and new sets through the years and was quite proud of my ham
>radio station. All that money wasted with nothing to do now, but
>monitor the local Burger King asking if some one wanted fries with
>their Whopper.

>

>A far fetched story? Not at all! Not a day goes by that you don't
>read in the newspapers about the government selling off frequencies
>to the highest bidder! A national radio communications magazine
>recently had an article by a columnist saying his good byes as he
>was closing his column after many years. Why? Well, he explained
>that with all the different agencies going to trunking, the average
>scanner listener could no longer listen to anything interesting on
>the radio. That facts are time had passed and so had technology.
>Suddenly he found himself with no one sending him emails about
>interesting local frequencies that he could pass on to his readers.
>With all the new systems out most of the old scanner units were as
>useless as our old early day computers.

>

>There is a moral to this story of course. Anyone who has been around
>the activity for a while can see the handwriting on the wall! Have
>you listened to the repeaters lately,! during daytime, during drive
>time, or anytime at all? Remember the days when all your friends
>were on the radio just waiting to chat with you during the drive
>home or after dinner?

>Well, those friends are no longer on the radio anymore.

>

>Some have said it was because their old friends had moved to other
>towns. Some said that their old friends got busy doing something
>else, and no longer had the time to talk on the radio. Some blamed
>it on the internet. While others decided that they just did not want
>to talk to the new people they heard on radio. Everyone had an
>excuse for no longer turning the radio on. Suddenly there was
>silence!

>

>So when was the last time YOU threw out your call on the radio? When
>was the last time you turned on the HF radio and enjoyed the thrill
>talking to another land? I didn't say TYPING on the internet to
>another land, I said TALKING on the radio to another land! When was
>the last time you came to a radio club meeting, and what excuse did
>you have for that?

>Was it because you were too busy? Was it because you were afraid you
>would have to talk to "those new people" face to face? It seems that
>today we all have so many excuses no longer being active on the
>bands. I am sure that you have heard plenty or perhaps made up a
>number of them yourself.

>

>Well, lets look at this another way! I remember a local AM talk
>radio broadcaster suggesting that we follow the money trail. Let's

>start with our side! We shelled out money for books and tapes to
>learn the needed information to pass the license test. We shelled
>out good money for the license, for the QSL cards, for the tower,
>the antennas, the base
>radio, the mobile radio, the HT's, the new keyer, club memberships
>etc. Look at all the money that you have spent on this great hobby.
>Count it up and you will soon discover that you have a lot of time
>and money invested in this activity.
>
>Now lets return to the FCC looking for a way to make a buck by
>selling fre! quencies. You know they really don't care how much you
>personally have spent on radio hardware! They don't care how much
>time you took to get that license. In fact your personal interests
>are the last thing they are thinking about. They are only looking at
>all those "empty" frequencies that they could sell to big business.
>Remember the 220 ham segment that was sold off to United Parcel
>Service a number of years ago? It will happen again -- for the right
>price!
>
>But wait you say! How about the need for ham radio during National
>Emergencies? How about 911, and the twin towers, and the terrorists
>who are lurking everywhere. How about the hurricanes, the tidal
>waves, the tornados and the floods? Let's be honest folks -- when
>was the last time that YOU participated in any of those events? If
>you haven't turned on the radio in years then isn't that just
>another excuse. Ham Radio is going to run out of excuses one day and
>suddenly YOU will be the one giving all your equipment away. Y! ou
>may think this is all far fetched, but you would be run. Remember
>that there are no guarantees that the ham bands will go on forever.
>Especially if they are mostly empty and there is a buck to be made.
>
>Perhaps you would like to turn on the radio and check this out for
>yourself? Simon and Garfunkel put out a record talking about "The
>Sounds of Silence." This sound is not a good sound for YOU the ham
>radio operator! This is the sound of the wolves at the door just
>waiting to pounce on your ham bands! Oops - I meant to say on the
>FCC frequencies that were your ham bands.
>
>This is a wake up call! Use them or lose them! Let me repeat that
>again so it sinks in: USE THOSE BANDS NOW...OR LOSE THEM TOMORROW!
>
>Now is the time to dust off those radios, to throw out your call, to
>join a radio club, to support the ARRL efforts in your behalf, to do
>Field Day this summer and to return to the days when you made life
>long friends through a great hobby.
>
>Or you can just! leave it the way it is now. Get that newspaper ad
>ready for some poor ham who hasn't been on the radio for a long

>time. It will save you leaving those boat anchors out on the curb
>for the trash man!
>
>An editorial by Bob Fields, KC6A0H, President of the Delaware County
>(PA) Amateur Radio Club 73
>

Message-ID: <007001c40e83\$0e56f120\$c4012c42@l0d2m1>
From: "philip" <dgnova@erols.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: SCR522 design
Date: Sat, 20 Mar 2004 08:55:50 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

The British sets installed in aircraft were:
TR1133A 24 volts ; xmitter-receiver
TR1133B 12 volts ; xmitter-receiver
R1137A receiver, covering 100mcs to 120mcs
T1136A xmitter, same frequency range
A1135A amplifier

British ground sets were:
R1132A ground receiver covering 100mcs to 120mcs
I think the US version is the receiver the BC-639

T1131 ground xmitter 100mcs to 120mcs
I think the US version is the BC-640

Message-ID: <405B8DA4.3060003@falloncom.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Mar 2004 16:17:40 -0800
From: Mike Fallon <mike@falloncom.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
CC: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Tube reference manual
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Sandy:

Ned Carlson at Triode Electronics had copies a couple of years ago.
Be sure you're sitting down when you check the price.

www.triodeelectronics.com

I don't see it in the current on-line catalog. Probably need to call.

73,

Mike

Mike Fallon K6Y0Q
Veradale, WA

On 3/19/04 3:55 PM, Sandy W5TVW wrote:

> There is a book called "electron tube vade mecum", I think published
> hard cover in Poland now. Anybody have a source for these?
> 73,
> Sandy W5TVW
>

Message-Id: <200403171915.i2HJF01b0057773@osr506.nanniandjack.com>
From: listown@nanniandjack.com (Mail List Owner)
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: ADMINISTRIVIA: Changing Email Addresses
Date: Wed, 17 Mar 2004 11:15:00 -0800 (PST)

Gang-

This periodic post is intended to help subscribers whose email address has changed, preventing posting or receipt of the list.

If you change ISP (InterNet Service Provider), simply send me an email advising the change, and I will do my best to implement the change quickly.

For those unfortunates, whose ISP has made a change without advising their customers of the potential impact of that change on subscribers to email lists like the boatanchors, where one must be a subscriber to post to the list, try to follow along...

Under some circumstances, the changes to your email address are "transparent" to you, but prevent posting. I get error notification for these kinds of problems, and I try to work them out. However, I may miss one, and on this end, the process is anything BUT automatic.

You have a bigger stick than I do. You and your ISP have the primary responsibility to repair the problems caused by the change at the

ISP. I have zero leverage with your ISP, and you have great leverage.

Most ISP maintain a customer service department to help with problems like these. This should be your first line of support for email problems. I am happy to assist and consult, but try to understand that when your ISP makes a change to their email handler, and that change prevents you from posting to the boatanchors list, I can help, but resolving this problem is your responsibility, working with your ISP. I am but a volunteer, contributing my time to administer the list.

Thank you for your attention.

--

73

Jack, W4KH/Mobile - - - BoatAnchor Mailing List Archiver/Owner - - -
listown@nanniandjack.com - "Plus ca change, plus c'est la meme chose"

"Il n'y a que les idiots qui ne changent jamais d'idee"

Wed Mar 17 11:15:00 PST 2004

Message-ID: <405C62D2.5020804@ix.netcom.com>

Date: Sat, 20 Mar 2004 09:27:14 -0600

From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: "Pebble-Grain Black" Cabinet Paint: Still Available?

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Anyone know if you can still get your TR-7 cabinet painted in "Pebble-Grain Black" somewhere? I've got a couple that need new paint and I don't want to make a mess of it.

Thanks,

Dave S.

From: "Grant Youngman" <nq5t@comcast.net>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Date: Sat, 20 Mar 2004 09:47:17 -0600

MIME-Version: 1.0

Subject: Test- don't bother to read

Message-ID: <405C1325.31656.5630183@localhost>

Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Content-description: Mail message body

Grant Youngman /NQ5T
<http://www.globeking.com>
nq5t@comcast.net < == NEW

From: "Grant Youngman" <nq5t@comcast.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Date: Sat, 20 Mar 2004 10:06:21 -0600
MIME-Version: 1.0
Subject: Hammarlund PRO-310 Disassembly
Message-ID: <405C179D.21554.57474EA@localhost>
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT
Content-description: Mail message body

Does anyone out there have any experience in disassembly of the PRO-310 to get to and the refurb the tuning assembly?

I'm in the process currently, disconnecting the harness and other cables to free the power chassis and IF strip, then removing the tuning assembly from the remaining frame. It looks like the sub front panel and tuning/turret chassis will have to be broken down into piece-parts as well. Maybe I've overlooked the "easy" way in ???

Also, if anyone has had any luck implementing a workable replacement for the two tuning cords, I'd be interested in knowing what you used.

At the rate I'm going I will be building a PRO-310 "kit" to get it back together :-)

Grant Youngman /NQ5T
<http://www.globeking.com>
nq5t@comcast.net < == NEW

Message-ID: <000901c40eec\$1be0bb00\$5056acce@b1yhp64>
From: =?iso-8859-1?Q?Andr=E9_Guibert?= <aguibert@sympatico.ca>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: SCR522 Based on a British Design
Date: Sat, 20 Mar 2004 21:26:55 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Bonsoir to All

Finally pinned down the cause of text being trunketed, here goes.

A friend of mine thinks that the SCR522 was based on a British design. After the Battle of Brittain, the TR9B was replaced by VHF W/S and cockpits layouts for the Spitfire and Hurricane shows a five push button remote control

quite similar to the one used on the -522 set up.

Saw something similar to a -522 set while visiting a millitary radio collectionner

in Tournai(Belgium). One of his friend had left what appeared to be a - 522 on his

doorstep, claiming that it had been pulled out of a crashed British aircraft.

Even though it looked like -522 It's appearence was as different as a Brittish WS19 is with a North American one.

A member of the group gave me a list of A/M VHF sets but I cannot see which one

would be a four channel one.

Anybody can throw some light on the subject

Thanks

Andre

Message-ID: <405D02E5.1050206@cox.net>

Date: Sat, 20 Mar 2004 21:50:13 -0500

From: Mike Hanz <AAF-Radio-1@cox.net>

MIME-Version: 1.0

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: Re: SCR522 Based on a British Design

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

There is a lot on this sprinkled through the three volumes of the official history of the Signal Corps. In "The Test", the European component of the AAF was pushing the Signal Corps hard to adopt the British VHF set because of quality control problems with the Western Electric VHF ARC-5, which was the Signal Corps' first choice because of parts interchangeability with the SCR-274N set. The Brits wanted a second stable source if and when their factories got bombed out. Under political pressure, the Signal Corps finally produced a compacted version of the British set (which was in two boxes) to use in American planes in the European theater for flexibility and interoperability. (The Brits bought a bunch for their own use as well.) The Signal Corps repackaged the two British sets into a single package the same size as the TR 1143, but General Olmstead, the Chief Signal Officer, went on record to the Under Secretary of War to say it was "of strictly British

origin" despite the Bendix repackaging. The implication of Olmstead's assurance was that it was produced only to help the Brits - not because it was a good set. On the other side of the question, it was loved by the Americans once it was installed and was amazingly reliable - a tribute to its combat effectiveness.

73,
Mike

Andr  Guibert wrote:

>A friend of mine thinks that the SCR522 was based on a British design.
>A member of the group gave me a list of A/M VHF sets but I cannot see which
>one would be a four channel one.
>

End of BOATANCHORS Digest 3625
